

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,650	01/18/2001	Stephen James Williams	C36510/10472	9072
7590 01/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert G Lancaster			NGO, LIEN M	
Bryan Cave One Metropolitan Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
211 North Broadway Suite 3600			3727	
St Louis, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
j. Office Action Summan.	09/622,650	WILLIAMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LIEN TM NGO	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-21 and 23-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-21,23-26 and 35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/	a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the content of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( st sentence of the specification o visional application has been receptority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  r in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived.  and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	A) [] [-A	(PTO 412) Paper No/o)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/30/03 has been entered.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

2. The terminal disclaimer filed on 10/17/03 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the patent No. 6,016,929 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

#### Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I, claims 15-21, 23-26 and 35 in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction is not appropriate because examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because it pointed out that MPEP goes on to state that, for the purposes of the initial requirement, a serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation of separate status in the art as defined in MPEP 808.02 and 808.01(a). The prima facie

Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

showing was made in the restriction requirement, but applicant has failed to successfully rebut it with an appropriate showing or evidence.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Objections

4. Claims 16 and 17 objected to because of the following informalities: "lug" should be "lugs". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 15-21, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, lines 8, it is unclear what are formed an integral unit.

7. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3727

9. Claims 15-21, 23-26 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vichai (WO 86/06273) in view of Sanz et al. (EP 819417) and further in view of Lindsey et al. (5,143,237).

Vichai discloses, a feeding bottle having a closure 13 and teat made of dissimilar plastic material, which are bond together.

Sanz et al. teach a single-used feeding bottle.

Lindsey et al. teach non-removable closure from a container, the closure comprising crew threads and ratchet teeth which cooperate with threads and lugs carried by the container neck.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Vichai feeding bottle with ratchet teeth in the closure and lugs on the bottle neck, as taught by Lindsey et al., in order to prevent the closure to remove from the bottle for forming a single-use feeding bottle.

Examiner notes that the process in the claims such as aseptic process, twostage aseptic process, etc. are considered for patentability because the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (see MPEP 2113)

In regard to claim 21, a feeding bottle comprising a teat shield is well known in the art (see Ritsi 3,3549,036).

In regard to claim 23, a feeding bottle is made of transparent material and having graduation marking are well known in the art (see Cautereels 5,316,160).

Art Unit: 3727

### Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-21, 23-26 and 35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Burke (4,354,691) teaches a non-removable closure.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 703-305-0294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Lien Ngo

January 28, 2004

